

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,911	07/11/2001	Siegfried Luft	04906.P040	1859
7590 11/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Andre M. Gibbs			BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor			ART ÚNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2126	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/903,911	LUFT, SIEGFRIED				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126				
The MAILING DATE of	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the					
Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or exter	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period w nded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file.	ays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .							
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are p	4) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are			·				
8) Claim(s) are su	ibject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is obj	jected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed or	n <u>11 July 2001</u> is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)区 objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not reque	st that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
<u>.</u>	•	on is required if the drawing(s) is o					
11) The oath or declaration	n is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is ma a) All b) Some * c	•	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies							
2. Certified copies	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
·		ity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage				
· ·	the International Bureau	• "					
² See the attached detaile	ed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/01 	rawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/903,911

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because of Draftperson's Review. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 7/11/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. There was no copy of the references for the information not initialed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

,

Art Unit: 2126

4. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 12-19, 23, 25, 32-43, 48, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "An Approach for Mobile Agent Security and Fault Tolerance using Distributed Transactions" by VOGLER in view of "Solve Real Problems with aglets, a type of mobile agent" by VENNERS.

As to claims 1, 16, 17, 23 and 43, VOGLER teaches a coordinator transaction agent (mobile agent) capable of communication with a network element (target host) by causing itself to be replicated onto the network element (via sending a copy of the agent to the new host), causing an indication of that replication to be communicated back to the transaction agent (via the target host initializing the agent and acknowledge the receipt), and coordinating operations of a task in the replicated transaction agent to implement while receiving an indication of the completion of the operation (via initiating the 2PC protocol to conclude the transaction) (pg. 270-271). However, VOGLER does not teach that the agent includes an itinerary and a state machine wherein the itinerary indicates a plurality of network elements wherein the coordinator agent coordinates operations on the plurality of state machines.

VENNERS teaches that mobile agents (aglet / mobile agent) maintain a state machine (artificial intelligence / state) (pg. 2, "Aglets can potentially be endowed with artificial intelligence....and continue execution at another host.") have an operation of cloning themselves and use an itinerary (pg. 3, "One of the main differences between mobile code, such as applets, and mobile agents is itinerary...") wherein the itinerary indicates a plurality of network elements (many sites) wherein the coordinator agent coordinates operations on the plurality of state machines (via parallel processing) (pg. 5,

Art Unit: 2126

"Given that mobile agents can move from node to node and can spawn subagents, one potential use of mobile agent technology is as a way to administer a parallel processing job..."). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the mobile agent of VOGLER would create a sub-agent of itself and send that agent to the remote host in order to perform a parallel operation. Therefore, it would be obvious to combine the teachings VOGLER with the teachings of VENNERS in order to facilitate the total migration of agents in a network with their state.

As to claims 2 and 13-15, VENNERS teaches mobile agents (aglet / mobile agent) maintain a state machine (artificial intelligence / state) (pg. 2, "Aglets can potentially be endowed with artificial intelligence....and continue execution at another host.") have an operation of cloning themselves and use an itinerary (pg. 3, "One of the main differences between mobile code, such as applets, and mobile agents is itinerary...") wherein the itinerary indicates a plurality of network elements (many sites) wherein the coordinator agent coordinates operations on the plurality of state machines of sub-agents (via parallel processing) (pg. 5, "Given that mobile agents can move from node to node and can spawn subagents, one potential use of mobile agent technology is as a way to administer a parallel processing job..."). VOGLER teaches mobile agents clone themselves to other computers (pg. 270-271). Therefore, it would be obvious based on the combination that mobile agents are capable of replicating to any number of network elements (hosts).

Art Unit: 2126

As to claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 12, 18, 19, 25, 32-42, 48 and 50, VOGLER teaches performing a distributed transaction among hosts by cloning an agent and performing the operation according wherein the transaction is concluded by a two phase commit protocol (pg. 270-271). VENNERS teaches Java mobile agents are capable of performing transactions regarding data collection, searching and filtering, monitoring, target information dissemination, negotiating, bartering, parallel processing, and entertainment (pg. 3-5). Official Notice is taken in that it is obvious and well known to one skilled in the art that a two phase commit protocol autonomously rolls back or indicates success in a lock step in order to complete a transaction.

5. Claims 5, 6, 9-11, 20-22, 24, 26-31, 44-47 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over VOGLER in view of VENNERS as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA).

As to claims 5, 6, 9-11, 20-22, 24, 26-31, 44-47 and 49, VOGLER teaches performing a distributed transaction among hosts by cloning an agent and performing the operation according wherein the transaction is concluded by a two phase commit protocol (pg. 270-271). VENNERS teaches Java mobile agents are capable of performing transactions regarding data collection, searching and filtering, monitoring, target information dissemination, negotiating, bartering, parallel processing, and entertainment (pg. 3-5). Official Notice is taken in that it is obvious and well known to one skilled in the art that a two phase commit protocol autonomously rolls back or indicates success in a lock step in order to complete a transaction. However, neither

Art Unit: 2126

VOGLER not VENNERS teaches that the mobile agents are used to enable or disable a cross connection. APA teaches that transactions are typically performed by a user to enable and disable cross connections (pg. 1-2, paragraphs 4-6). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention that since transactions are performed by mobile agents, users would use mobile agents to perform cross connections. Therefore, it would be obvious to combine the teachings of VOGLER with the teachings of VENNER and APA in order to facilitate the autonomous performance of cross connection of network nodes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm. In late-October, the examiner can be reached on (571) 272-3759.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. In late-October, the examiner's supervisor can be reached on (571) 272-3756.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/903,911

Art Unit: 2126

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY FXAMINER

September 29, 2004